NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES

Attachment B

ATTESTATION OF USE OF FAMILY FIRST TRANSITION FUNDS

Instruction:

This form must be completed to receive Family First Transition Funds. Please complete and return to FamilyFirstNY@ocfs.ny.gov by June 15, 2019. Such funds will not be used to supplant any other state or local funds. Claims for reimbursement under this appropriation will not be submitted for the same type and level of funding covered by any other state or locally authorized appropriation.

Section I

This is to certify that Monroe County DHS (insert LDSS name) plans to use the allocation of these funds authorized in the amount of \$ 117,901 toward one of the purposes listed below. This plan is subject to change based on individualized technical assistance and strategy selection. How the funds were used will be documented in the Family First Transition Fund Progress Report (Attachment C). Outcomes resulting from such expenditures will be documented in the Family First Transition Fund Outcomes Report (Attachment D).

| Plan for use of funds: (Check all that apply.) |
|--|
| Additional/enhanced targeted technical support |
| |
| |
| Supporting kinship foster parents in meeting approval/certification requirements |
| ☐ Improving recruitment and retention of foster families, including kinship caregivers |
| ☐ Establishing administrative review teams to review proposed placements in non-kinship and congregate care settings ☐ |
| Other (describe) Stabilizing and supporting kinship placements |
| Section II |
| The LDSS agrees to participate in OCFS administered centralized technical assistance, and may receive additiona technical assistance which may include one or more of the following: |
| OCFS administered LDSS-specific technical assistance to assist with data analysis Consultant administered strategy development, system improvements and monitoring progress toward target goals |
| The LDSS has already received technical assistance toward the goal of reducing children in congregate care and increasing children in kinship foster care settings: ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| If yes, describe: |
| |
| Section III |

Instruction:

OCFS has set statewide target goals for the percentage of children in foster care living in congregate care and kinship foster care, to be achieved by September 30, 2021, as follows: 12% congregate care placements and 30% kinship foster care placements. To assist the state in meeting these targets, the LDSS must identify target goals to reduce congregate care and increase kinship foster care to be achieved by September 30, 2021. Progress toward these goals, as of September 30, 2020, will be documented in the Family First Transition Fund Outcomes Report due November 1, 2020.

Utilizing the data provided on the next page, fill in the placement percentages as of December 31, 2018. Establish and fill in target goals¹ which may also be identified in the 3-year Retention and Recruitment Plan:

- 1. Reduce congregate care from 19 %(on December 31, 2018) to 12 % by September 30, 2021
- 2. Increase kinship² foster care from 3 %(on December 31, 2018) to 30 % by September 30, 2021

Describe below how the LDSS will engage kinship resources with direct custody orders (1017) to consider becoming certified or approved foster parents

In situations where out of home care is needed, kinship or relative foster care is the preferred option for a child as it preserves family, sense of belonging and reduces the trauma innate to placement. Relatives have a variety of reasons they choose to pursue 1017 verses foster care, most frequently cited include:

fostering would damage or jeopardize their relationship with the child's bio parents, relative resource does not want the oversight of the child welfare agency,

process and procedures are difficult, time consuming, complicated and intrusive

In order to better understand the lack of familial interest in becoming a foster parent Monroe County has engaged in a number of QA/QI initiatives including process mapping our removal process as well as utilizing the fishbone diagram to categorizing the potential causes of the problem in order to identify its root causes and create plans to address.

Some strategies we are considering are:

- Creation of a "Kin first" culture where kinship placement is promoted as the first and best option if determined safe for a child should out of home care be determined to be necessary.
- Identification of a child's extended family network from the moment the child comes to the attention of the child welfare system. Identify potential supports and possible placement resource options in all child welfare interactions.
- Dedicating a case aid(s) as a kinship specialist to provide potential kinship care givers with written information on all the permanency options with clear dedicated discussion re the benefits. Additionally, kinship care givers will be provided with information about available services and resources addressing the barriers of adding a child to his/her home. The case aide will provide intensive suport services to a relative resource, stabilizing the new family unit by assisting the family through the processes and procedures involved in fostering up to conclusion of the CPS INV and transfer of family services to a caseworker on the CPS management team.
- Case aid will support caregivers if eligible, though the TANF process.
- Establishment of a more formal referral relationship with our Kinship Navigator Program that is seamless and timely.
- Increased emphasis on related and unique trainings for kinship caregiver/foster families. Trainings would emphasize family dynamics and the impact on family relationships when providing foster care for a relative child.
- Monroe County will explore alternate training curriculum unique to the relative resource and the challenges of fostering a relative child
- Establish protocols for securing and utilizing family finding resources- Contract for Family Finding resources and create protocol for eligibility and referral.

¹ Measured from an in-care sample of children in the care and custody of the LDSS in such setting on December 31, 2018 and September 30, 2021

² Kinship foster care includes both approved foster homes, and certified foster homes where the foster parent is a relative or a non-relative with a positive relationship to the child or child's family (i.e. godparent, neighbor, family friend).

| Lastly we will create a project team to brainstorm and project plan engaging current kinship resources |
|--|
| who have direct custody orders to consider becoming certified foster parents. Brainstorming will include |
| representation from relative resources. |
| |

Section IV

| Name and title of person completing the form: | | Anne Eichas | Date: | 06 / 12 / 2019 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Deputy Commissioner Ch | ild and Family Se | rvices, Monroe County Depart | ment of Human Serv | rices |
| Name of commissioner: | Corinda Crossd | ale | Date: | 06 / 12 / 2019 |
| Commissioner's signature: | Carenda | i (hendel) | | |

| Number and Per | centage of Child | | | by Select I | Placement | Setting Types on I | | | | | T | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|--|------------|-----------|------------|
| | | 12/ | 31/2016 | | | | 12 | /31/2017 | | | | 12 | /31/2018 | | |
| | Total Children In LDSS Custody ¹ | Approved Relative Institution/Group | | Total Children In LDSS Approved Relative Inst Custody¹ Home² | | | Institution/Group | | | | oved : Home² | Institution/Group Care ³ | | | |
| | # | # | % | # | % | # | # | % | # | % | # | # | % | # | % |
| Total | 19,126 | 3,693 | 19% | 3,334 | 17% | 18,623 | 4,095 | 22% | 3,173 | 17% | 18,081 | 4,505 | 25% | | 16% |
| ALBANY | 228 | 8 | 4% | 75 | 33% | 239 | | 5% | 70 | | 232 | 13 | 6% | | 25% |
| ALLEGANY | 58 | 8 | 14% | 11 | 19% | 57 | | | 9 | | 47 | 14 | 30% | 10 | 21% |
| BROOME | 269 | 13 | 5% | 67 | 25% | 275 | | | 71 | 26% | 291 | 12 | 4% | | 22% |
| CATTARAUGUS CAYUGA | 75 68 | 4 1 | 5% 1% | 13 20 | 17% 29% | 65 | | 5% 2% | 20 12 | | 54 71 | 10 | 19% 1% | 11 | 20% 7% |
| CHAUTAUQUA | 111 | 5 | 5% | 20 | 18% | 112 | 19 | | 22 | | 116 | | 12% | 22 | 19% |
| CHEMUNG | 90 | 2 | 2% | 17 | 19% | 101 | 10 | | 16 | | 97 | | 19% | 11 | 11% |
| CHENANGO | 60 | 16 | 27% | 8 | 13% | 54 | . 9 | 17% | 6 | 11% | 67 | 9 | 13% | 4 | 6% |
| CLINTON | 78 | 5 | 6% | 12 | 15% | 79 | 3 | 4% | 13 | 16% | 85 | 13 | 15% | 17 | 20% |
| COLUMBIA | 59 | 3 | 5% | 22 | 37% | 41 | | | 15 | | 47 | | | 20 | 43% |
| CORTLAND | 53 | 7 | 13% | 4 | 8% | 55 | | | 5 | | 61 | 11 | | 7 | 11% |
| DELAWARE | 66 | 0 | 0% | 3 | 5% | 44 | | | 2 | | 37 | 0 | | 1 | 3% |
| DUTCHESS ERIE | 312 979 | 33 84 | 11% 9% | 106 238 | 34% 24% | 288 942 | 34 139 | 12% 15% | 92 199 | 32% 21% | 227 837 | 48 150 | 21% 18% | 60 178 | 26% 21% |
| ESSEX | 979 40 | 84 9 | 23% | 238 4 | 10% | 53 | | | 199 | | 67 | 150 | | 1/8 | 21% |
| FRANKLIN | 136 | 15 | 11% | 9 | 7% | 191 | . 54 | 28% | 7 | | 171 | 52 | 30% | 9 | 5% |
| FULTON | 39 | 2 | 5% | 17 | 44% | 32 | | | 13 | | 27 | | | | 37% |
| GENESEE | 80 | 6 | 8% | 19 | 24% | 59 | | 10% | 11 | 19% | 69 | | | 13 | 19% |
| GREENE | 72 | 26 | 36% | 20 | 28% | 59 | 11 | 19% | 19 | 32% | 57 | 5 | 9% | 15 | 26% |
| HAMILTON | 1 | 0 | n/a | 1 | n/a | 2 | . 0 | | 1 | | 2 | | | | 50% |
| HERKIMER | 81 | 1 | 1% | 23 | 28% | 94 | | | 23 | | 90 | | | 15 | 17% |
| JEFFERSON | 108 | 5 | 5% | 6 | 6% | 112 | | | 8 | | 134 | | 15% | | |
| LEWIS | 16 51 | 1 0 | 6% | 1 9 | 6% | 36 | | | 7 | | 13 | | | | |
| LIVINGSTON MADISON | 37 | 4 | 0% 11% | 15 | 18% 41% | 31 | | 3% | 8 | | 37 | | | 10 | 29% |
| MONROE | 424 | 8 | 2% | 103 | 24% | 437 | | 3% | 98 | | 482 | 15 | | | 19% |
| MONTGOMERY | 59 | 1 | 2% | 19 | 32% | 70 | | 1% | 17 | 24% | 56 | | 2% | | 32% |
| NASSAU | 187 | 16 | 9% | 56 | 30% | 156 | 12 | 8% | 48 | 31% | 192 | 19 | 10% | 70 | 36% |
| NEW YORK | 11218 | 3007 | 27% | 1378 | 12% | 10903 | 3234 | 30% | 1390 | 13% | 10309 | 3389 | 33% | 1333 | 13% |
| NIAGARA | 184 | 7 | 4% | 41 | 22% | 179 | | | 37 | 21% | 185 | 17 | 9% | 35 | 19% |
| ONEIDA | 225 | 27 | 12% | 60 | 27% | 215 | | | 68 | 32% | 223 | 41 | 18% | 63 | 28% |
| ONONDAGA | 378 83 | 6 9 | 2% | 94 10 | 25% | 385 | | 6% | 80 9 | | 437 59 | 84 11 | 19% | 79 10 | 18% 17% |
| ONTARIO ORANGE | 392 | 48 | 11% 12% | 81 | 12% 21% | 409 | | 17% 17% | 81 | 14% 20% | 387 | 78 | 19% 20% | 59 | 15% |
| ORLEANS | 40 | 0 | 0% | 8 | 20% | 41 | | | 5 | | 33 | | | | |
| OSWEGO | 64 | 3 | 5% | 18 | 28% | 110 | | | 21 | 19% | 157 | 17 | 11% | | 11% |
| OTSEGO | 34 | 0 | 0% | 5 | 15% | 33 | 2 | 6% | 5 | 15% | 36 | 3 | 8% | 3 | 8% |
| PUTNAM | 23 | 2 | 9% | 4 | 17% | 17 | | 12% | 4 | 24% | 22 | | | 5 | 23% |
| RENSSELAER | 97 | 1 | 1% | 50 | 52% | 83 | | | 37 | 45% | 81 | 2 | | | 46% |
| ROCKLAND | 58 | 3 | 5% | 22 | 38% | 64 | 1 | | 15 | 23% | 68 | | 21% | 10 | 15% |
| SARATOGA | 57 | 0 | 0% | 24 | 42% | 61 | 1 | 2% | 21 | | 54 | | 4% | | 33% |
| SCHENECTADY SCHOHARIE | 53 | 29 1 | 12% 2% | 61 12 | 24% 23% | 219 | | | 76 12 | | 237 59 | | | | |
| SCHUYLER | 24 | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | 22 | | | 5 | | 25 | | | | |
| SENECA | 45 | 3 | 7% | 8 | 18% | 50 | | | 9 | | 43 | | | | |
| ST. LAWRENCE | 192 | 7 | 4% | 30 | 16% | 186 | 15 | 8% | 22 | 12% | 239 | 34 | 14% | 23 | 10% |
| ST. REGIS | 18 | 2 | 11% | 1 | 6% | 18 | 11 | 61% | 2 | 11% | 20 | 10 | 50% | 3 | 15% |
| STEUBEN | 105 | 2 | 2% | 20 | 19% | 93 | | | 12 | | 112 | | | | 19% |
| SUFFOLK | 605 | 95 | 16% | 175 | 29% | 604 | | 19% | 172 | 28% | 535 | 136 | | | 29% |
| SULLIVAN | 84 | 1 | 1% | 24 | 29% | 101 | | | 35 | | 133 | | | | 27% |
| TIOGA TOMPKINS | 31 124 | 4 35 | 13% 28% | 6 12 | 19% 10% | 25 96 | | | 5 13 | | 30 73 | | | 7 | 23% 11% |
| ULSTER | 124 | 33 | 28% | 38 | 27% | 168 | | | 38 | | 191 | 67 | 35% | | 24% |
| WARREN | 48 | 2 | 4% | 13 | 27% | 32 | | | 9 | | 26 | | | | |
| WASHINGTON | 56 | 0 | 0% | 14 | 25% | 49 | | | 3 | | 42 | | | | |
| WAYNE | 36 | 0 | 0% | 5 | 14% | 26 | | | 8 | | 23 | | | 4 | |
| WESTCHESTER | 571 | 81 | 14% | 187 | 33% | 503 | 63 | 13% | 140 | | 495 | | 13% | 128 | 26% |
| WYOMING | 41 | 0 | 0% | 13 | 32% | 45 | | | 15 | | 35 | | | | |
| YATES | 11 | 1 | 9% | 2 | 18% | 15 | 1 | 7% | 2 | 13% | 12 | 2 | 17% | 0 | 0% |

Notes: Prepared by the OCFS Bureau of Research, Evaluation and Performance Analytics on 4/30/2019.

¹ Total Children in LDSS Custody refers to all children in the care and custody of the local district on 12/31; this includes youth in 24-hour care, absent youth and youth on trial discharge status.

²Approved relative home includes all children in an approved relative foster home as of 12/31; both children in 24-hour care and children on absent status are included. Children living in certified foster/adoptive homes where the foster parent is a relative are not captured in this category.

³ Institution/group care includes all children living in a an agency operated boarding home, group home, group residence, or institutional setting on 12/31. Children living in supervised independent living

programs are not included in this category. Both children in 24-hour care and children on absent status are included in the category total.